Scoring criteria for Professional Development Award

Eligibility checks:

- PG or Full ECR (in a fixed term contract, 5-year post-PhD limit)
- 1 consecutive year of membership (including at point of application)
- Attached documents and support from line manager

The possibility of the proposal improving professional prospects (Out of 3)?
3 – Strong justification as to why the funding is required to aid their professional prospects.
2 – Some justification as to why the funding is required to aid their professional prospects.
1 – Weak justification as to why the funding is required to aid their professional prospects.

Has the applicant secured other funding to support this activity (Out of 1)?
1 – Has secured other funding
0 – Has not secured other funding

The possibility of the proposal having a wider benefit onto the discipline of Physiology (Out of 2)?
2 = Strong potential benefit
1 = Weak potential benefit

Has the applicant clearly demonstrated the need for the exact amount of funding requested, and supported this claim (Out of 3)?
3- Has clearly demonstrated the need for the exact amount of funding requested. Has backed up the need with evidence and has broken down each cost in detail.
2- Has provided some detail about the exact amount of funding needed, could include more.
1- Has provided a very brief outline.
Considerations:

• Geographical spread - priority will be given to funding a geographical diversity of applications. Then, where there is eligible competition, we will not fund more than 3 three applications from one country.

• EDI - The Society will support applications from all individuals regardless of background